

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action issued May 6, 2009, claims 1, 3-10, 12-16, 18-25, 27-31, 33-40, and 42-51 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,925,631 to Golden ("Golden") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,754,659 to Sarkar et al. ("Sarkar"), and further in view of Sijacic, U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0158832 ("Sijacic").

Claims 1, 4-10, 13-16, 19-25, 28-31, 34-40, and 43-51 are now pending in this application. Claims 12, 27 and 42 have been canceled. Claims 1, 4, 13, 16, 19, 28, 31, 34, and 43 have been amended in order to clarify the subject matter that the Applicant considers to be the invention. No new matter has been added.

The applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims 1, 3-10, 12-16, 18-25, 27-31, 33-40, and 42-51 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Golden in view of Sarkar and further in view of Sijacic, because even if Golden, Sarkar, and Sijacic were combined as suggested by the Examiner, the result still would not disclose or suggest the requirements of the claims.

For example, claims 1, 16, and 31 require introspecting an input class included in the archive file, wherein introspecting the input class included in the archive file includes automatically generating information relating to the input class by extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter and automatically generating a markup language description of the input class based on the extracted information identifying methods included in the input class; and extracted information relating to parameters of the

method including at least a name and a type of each parameter. As the Examiner indicates, Golden does not disclose these requirements. The Examiner cites Sarkar at col. 6, line 66 to col. 7, line 4 as disclosing wherein introspecting the input class included in the archive file includes automatically generating information relating to the input class by extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter. However, the combination of Golden and Sarkar fails to disclose the limitation automatically generating a markup language description of the input class based on the extracted information identifying methods included in the input class; and extracted information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter. However, there is no disclosure in Sijacic that any markup language description that is generated is based on extracted information identifying methods and parameters of methods of an input class.

As a result, the combination of Golden, Sarkar, and Sijacic does not disclose or suggest automatically generating a markup language description of the input class based on the extracted information identifying methods included in the input class; and extracted information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter.

Therefore, claim 1, and claims 16 and 31, which are similar to claim 1, and claims 4-10, 13-15, 19-25, 28-30, 34-40, and 43-51, which depend therefrom, are not unpatentable over Golden in view of Sarkar and further in view of Sijacic.

Each of the claims now pending in this application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration of this case and early issuance of the Notice of Allowance are respectfully requested.

Additional Fees:

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any insufficient fees or credit any overpayment associated with this application to Deposit Account No. 50-4545 (5231-087-US01).

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, all of the Examiner's rejections to the claims are believed to be overcome. The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and issuance of a Notice of Allowance for all the claims remaining in the application. Should the Examiner feel further communication would facilitate prosecution, he is urged to call the undersigned at the phone number provided below.

Respectfully Submitted,

/Chadwick A. Jackson, Reg. No. 46,495/

Chadwick A. Jackson
Reg. No. 46,495

Dated: October 6, 2009

Hanify & King, P.C.
1055 Thomas Jefferson St., N.W.
Washington, DC 20007
(202) 403-2100 Tel.
(202) 429-4380 Fax